The Phosphorus Trichloride–Oxygen–Olefin Reaction: Conformation and Elimination Studies on Products derived from 1-Chloro-2-fluoro- and 1,2-Difluoro-ethylene

By Clive B. C. Boyce • and Shirley B. Webb, Shell Research Limited, Woodstock Laboratories, Sittingbourne, Kent

Lawrence Phillips and Ian R. Ager, Organic Chemistry Department, Imperial College of Science and Technology, South Kensington, London SW7

The reaction of phosphorus trichloride and oxygen with fluoro-olefins gives mixtures containing phosphoric and phosphonic dichlorides together with C-C cleavage products. In a wide range of compounds of the type (RO)₂P-(0) CHX CHYCI, including products derived from these reactions, elimination of HCI occurs stereospecifically or stereoselectively, and in the case of pairs of diastereoisomers stereoconvergently, to give the olefins (RO)₂P(O)-CX=CHY with X and Y *cis*. Suggestions are made concerning correlation of ground state conformational properties determined by ¹H and ¹⁹F n.m.r. studies, with observed mode of HCI elimination.

RECENT investigations into the reaction of phosphorus vinyl chloride² have shown that the major product is a trichloride and oxygen with 1,2-dichloroethylene¹ and

¹ C. B. C. Boyce and S. B. Webb, *J. Chem. Soc.* (C), 1971, 1613. ² C. B. C. Boyce and S. B. Webb, *J. Chem. Soc.* (C), 1971, 3987.

³ L. Maier, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1969, 52, 1337.

$$RCH=CHCl \xrightarrow[O_{a}]{} Cl_{2}P(O) \cdot O \cdot CHCl \cdot CHClR \qquad (i)$$

$$R == H \text{ or } Cl$$

however, there is good evidence that 2-chloroethylphosphonic dichloride is the major product and only a small amount of the phosphoric dichloride is formed. We now report results of the reaction of phosphorus trichloride and oxygen with 1-chloro-2-fluoro- and 1,2-difluoro-ethylene.

The products formed in these two reactions are listed in Table 1, together with estimates of their relative abundance, including the ratios of diastereoisomers where relevant. As with the chloro-olefins, 1,2 the major product from 1-chloro-2-fluoroethylene is a phosphoric and not a phosphonic dichloride as previously claimed,^{4,5}

The relative configurations of the two chiral centres in the pairs of diastereoisomeric phosphonic derivatives (IV) and (VII) can be deduced from n.m.r. data (Table 2) on the basis of the expected ranges of vicinal coupling constants: ^{6,7} $J_{\rm F,H}(trans)$ 25 \pm 3, $J_{\rm F,H}(gauche)$ 7 \pm 3, $J_{\text{H},\text{H}}(trans)$ 11–15, and $J_{\text{H},\text{H}}(gauche)$ 1–5 Hz. The more abundant diastereoisomers, (IVa) and (VIIa), probably exist predominantly in single conformations whereas the minor diastereoisomers, (IVb) and (VIIb), probably occur as mixtures (weighted average) of two conformers (Scheme). The pairs of diastereoisomers corresponding to the phosphonate (I), and the phosphoric

Table	1
-------	---

C	composition (%) of prod	ucts ^a derived from the	reaction of phosphorus	s trichloride and oxygen,	with FCH=CHX
х	$Cl_2P(O) \cdot O \cdot CHX \cdot CHFCl$	$Cl_2P(O) \cdot O \cdot CHF \cdot CHCl_2$	$Cl_2P(O) \cdot CHX \cdot CHFCl$	$Cl_2P(O) \cdot CHF \cdot CHCl_2$	$Cl_2P(O) \cdot CHFCl$
C1 & F ¢	$egin{array}{c} 62 & (1{\cdot}2:1) \ 21 & (1{\cdot}5:1) \end{array}$	Trace	$\begin{array}{c} 13 \ (2:1) \\ 70 \ (1\cdot7:1) \end{array}$	11.5	$11 \cdot 5$ 9

^e Figures in parentheses show the ratios of the diastereoisomers formed. ^b Proportions of products obtained from ³¹P n.m.r. spectrum of mixed phosphoric and phosphonic dichlorides; diastereoisomer ratios obtained from ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectrum of mixed phosphorate esters. ^c Proportions of products and diastereoisomer ratios obtained from ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectrum of mixed phosphoric and phosphonic dichlorides.

	TABLE 2
N.m.r. parameters of compounds derived from	the reaction of phosphorus trichloride and oxygen with
FCH=CI	HX (X = Cl or F)

		Chemical shifts				J/Hz §									
Compound *		F_{β} †	F_{α} †	Нβ ‡	H _a ‡	$\widetilde{\mathrm{H}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\mathrm{F}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}$	$H_{\alpha}F_{\alpha}$	$H_{\beta}H_{\alpha}$	FβFα	FβHα	FαHβ	FβP	$F_{\alpha}P$	HβP	$H_{\alpha}P$
(EtO) ₂ P(O)·CHCl·CHFCl	(Ia)		23.15	4.20	6.59		48.0	$2 \cdot 8$			$25 \cdot 0$		$3 \cdot 5$		$2 \cdot 8$
	(Ib)		31.88	4.20	6.44		48.0	$3 \cdot 0$			7.8		21.3		4.5
(EtO) ₂ P(O)·CHF·CHCl ₂	ίΠ	-41.15		4.77	6.01	44.3		5.4		14.3		71.3		6.5	$3 \cdot 8$
(EtO), P(O)·O·CHCI·CHFCI	(IIIa)		20.92	6.21	6.17		48.0	$4 \cdot 0$			$6 \cdot 0$		0		$4 \cdot 0$
	(IIIb)		16.21	6.21	6.11		48.0	$3 \cdot 6$			8.0		0		3.6
Cl ₂ P(O)·CHF·CHFCl	(IVa)	-42.81	15.05			46.0	46.0		15.0	17.0	$22 \cdot 0$	92	8.0		
/	(IVb)	-35.77	19.48			45.0	48.0		26.0	11.0	10.0	92	18.0		
Cl ₂ P(O)·O·CHF·CHFCl	(Va)	27.72	8.40				49.0		16.0		$4{\cdot}0$ ca	ı. 1·5	0		
	(Vb)	27.18	7.39				48.0		19.3		6·0 ca	ı. 1·5	0		
(EtO) ₂ P(O)·O·CHF·CHFCl	(VIa)	29.87	9·96 c	a. 5.75	ca. 6.72	2 50.0	48.5		15.5	$5 \cdot 0$	$4 \cdot 5$	$2 \cdot 0 c$	a. 1		
	(VIb)	27.92	8·79 c	a. 5.75	ca. 6.7	2 51.0	48.5		19.0	$5 \cdot 0$	$5 \cdot 5$	$2 \cdot 0$	0		
(EtO) ₂ P(O)·CHF·CHFCl	(VIIa)	-54.28	16.95	4.78	6.47	44.5	49.0	3.5	15.0	15.5	23.5	68.0	11.0	$8 \cdot 3$	1.4
	(VIIb)	-51.93	20.20	4.84	6.42	46.0	47.0	4.8	26.5	11.5	$9 \cdot 3$	69.0	19.0	7.0	$4 \cdot 0$

* In all cases compound a was formed in higher yield than compound b. \dagger In p.p.m. from C_6F_6 (internal); positive shifts to low field (CCl₄ solution). \$ Values (Me₄Si internal standard; CCl₄ solution). \$ Obtained from approximate first-order analyses only.

TABLE	3
-------	---

N.m.r. parameters * of compounds (VIII) and (IX)

	δ Values †			J/Hz							
Compound	H _a	H _b	н	$H_{a}H_{b}$	H _a H	H_bH	H _a P	H _b P	HP	H _a Me	H _b Me
(EtO) ₂ P(O)·CH _a H _b ·CH(Me)Cl (VIII)	2.28	2.03	4.33	15.4	$5 \cdot 1$	8.9	19.3	18.2	8.5	ca. 0·25	< 0.1
$(MeO)_{2}P(O) \cdot CH_{a}H_{b} \cdot CH(CO_{2}Me)Cl (IX)$	2.28	2.64	$4 \cdot 44$	15.4	$5 \cdot 5$	9.1	19.3	17.4	$9 \cdot 1$		

* Obtained by iterative analysis using the LACOÖN III program. † Internal Me₄Si standard; CCl₄ solution.

and 1,2-difluoroethylene, like ethylene,³ gives mainly a phosphonic dichloride. Possible pathways to the products together with factors controlling the regioselectivity of the reaction and the ratio of P-O-C to P-C bond formation are discussed in the following paper. This paper is concerned with the conformational properties and elimination reactions of these and related compounds.

⁴ L. Z. Soborovskii, Yu. M. Zinov'ev, and T. G. Spiridonova, J. Gen. Chem. (U.S.S.R.), 1959, 29, 1110. ⁵ Yu. M. Zinov'ev and L. Z. Soborovskii, J. Gen. Chem.

(U.S.S.R.), 1959, 29, 3913.

derivatives (III), (V), and (VI) all appear to exist predominantly in single conformations (Scheme) but in these cases it is not possible to deduce the relative configurations of the chiral centres.

N.m.r. data (Table 3) for diethyl 2-chloropropylphosphonate⁸ (VIII) and dimethyl 2-chloro-2-methoxycarbonylethylphosphonate⁸ (IX) suggest, on the basis

⁶ L. Phillips and V. Wray, Progr. N.M.R. Spectrometry, in the press.
⁷ R. J. Abraham and G. Gatti, J. Chem. Soc. (B), 1969, 961.
⁸ C. B. C. Boyce, S. B. Webb, and L. Phillips, following paper.

of the vicinal $J_{\rm H,H}$ values,⁹ and in the case of the phosphonate (VIII) the long-range coupling observed between the methyl group and the proton assigned as trans to



SCHEME Probable relative configurations and conformations of compounds (I)-(IX)

it,¹⁰ that they exist predominantly in the single conformations shown in the Scheme. Thus with the * This notation is used throughout in line with the accepted convention for elimination reactions.

 ⁹ L. Phillips and V. Wray, J.C.S. Perkin II, 1972, 536.
 ¹⁰ M. Barfield and B. Chakrabarti, Chem. Rev., 1969, 69, 757.
 ¹¹ R. J. Abraham and K. Parry, J. Chem. Soc. (B), 1970, 539;
 E. Elkik and C. Francesch, Bull. Soc. chim. France, 1973, 1277; L. H. L. Chia, E. Huang, and H.-H. Huang, J.C.S. Perkin II, 1973, 766; A. A. Bothner-By and C. Naar-Colin, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 743; R. J. Abraham and J. R. Monasterios, J. C. S. Perkin, 1973, 1275 J.C.S. Perkin I, 1973, 1446.

exception of the 1,2-difluoroethylphosphoric dichloride (V) and the corresponding diethyl ester (VI), the 1,1,2,2-tetrasubstituted ethanes have preferred conformations in which the two hydrogens have a gauche relationship, which is in accord with data on other 1,1,2,2-tetrasubstituted ethanes.¹¹ The observation that the 1,2,2-trisubstituted ethanes (VIII) and (IX) prefer to exist in conformations in which there is one trans and one gauche relationship between hydrogen atoms is also in agreement with previous studies.¹¹

Treatment of the diastereoisomeric mixture of 1.2-dichloro-2-fluoroethyl diethyl phosphates (III) $(1 \cdot 2a : 1b)$. with potassium t-butoxide in t-butyl alcohol at 0 °C gave a mixture of two vinyl phosphates in the ratio ca. 1: 1.3. ¹⁹F N.m.r. data confirmed that these were the isomers of 1-chloro-2-fluorovinyl diethyl phosphate, (X) and (XI). The method of Phillips and Wray ¹² for estimating the difference in ¹⁹F chemical shift between the Z- and E-isomers of a fluoro-olefin suggests that the Z-isomer (XI) is the more abundant. This is supported by the fact that the vinylic proton in (XI) which is cis to the



phosphoryl group resonates at lower field than the corresponding trans-proton 13 in (X). If the more abundant vinyl phosphate (XI) is formed from the more abundant diastereoisomeric phosphate (IIIa) by an anti-elimination, the relative configurations and preferred conformations of (IIIa) and (IIIb) can be assigned as (1) and (2) respectively (Scheme).

2-Chloro-1,2-difluoroethyl diethyl phosphate (VIa and b) was not dehydrochlorinated by treatment with potassium t-butoxide in t-butyl alcohol. The lack of reactivity parallels that of 2-chloroethyl phosphate esters.¹⁴ Clearly the β -proton (*i.e.* that gem to phosphorus) * in these phosphoric derivatives is not very acidic. However the situation is very different in the corresponding phosphonic derivatives since the phosphonyl group can stabilize the conjugate base (i.e. the carbanion) through conjugative and inductive effects.¹⁵ Although such carbanions are well known and have been widely exploited synthetically ¹⁶ the effect of a β phosphonyl substituent in 1,2-eliminations of HX has not been explored, which is surprising in view of the interest there has been in the effect of analogous β -

L. Phillips and V. Wray, J.C.S. Perkin II, 1972, 223.
C. B. C. Boyce, L. Phillips, S. B. Webb, and P. A. Worthing-ton, J.C.S. Perkin I, 1973, 2881.
 ¹⁴ J. F. Allen, S. K. Reed, O. H. Johnson, and N. J. Brunsvold,

J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1956, 78, 3715. ¹⁵ D. J. Cram, 'Fundamentals of Carbanion Chemistry,'

- Academic Press, New York and London, 1965. ¹⁶ For leading references see Chemical Society Specialist Periodical Reports, 'Organophosphorus Chemistry,' Vols. I—III, chapters, 8, pp. 205, 183, and 180, respectively.

substituents in olefin-forming eliminations where E1cbor Elcb-like mechanisms are thought to operate.¹⁷⁻²⁰

Treatment of the diastereoisomeric mixture of diethyl 1,2-dichloro-2-fluoroethylphosphonates (I) (2a:1b) with triethylamine (ether; 24 h; 20°) resulted in stereoconvergent ¹⁹ elimination of HCl: only the Z-isomer of diethyl 1-chloro-2-fluorovinylphosphonate (XII) was formed.



Dehvdrochlorination of the isomeric diethyl 2.2-dichloro-1-fluoroethylphosphonate (II) with triethylamine (ether; 7 days; 36°) resulted in a stereospecific elimination to give the vinylphosphonate (XIII).

When the mixture of diastereoisomers of diethyl 2-chloro-1,2-difluoroethylphosphonate (VII) (1.7a:1b), was heated with triethylamine in ether under reflux for 9 days, the major diastereoisomer (VIIa) did not react and only the E-isomer (XIV) of diethyl 1,2-difluorovinvlphosphonate was formed (as indicated by ¹H n.m.r.). Dehydrochlorination of the major diastereoisomer (which was recovered in almost quantitative yield) under more forcing conditions (heating with triethylamine in toluene for 24 h at reflux, or treatment with potassium t-butoxide in t-butyl alcohol at 0 °C) also gave the E-isomer (XIV), together with about 10%



of the Z-isomer (XV) of diethyl 1,2-difluorovinylphosphonate. Since the configurational assignments of (VIIa and b) are unambiguous the minor diastereoisomer must undergo anti-elimination of HCl whereas the major diastereoisomer suffers mainly syn-elimination.

Diethyl 2,2-dichloroethylphosphonate² and dimethyl 2-chloro-2-methoxycarbonylethylphosphonate⁸ (IX)undergo stereospecific elimination of HCl, and diethyl 2-chloropropylphosphonate 8 (VIII) undegoes a similar but stereoselective (80%) elimination. The products are the E-vinylphosphonates (XVI)-(XVIII), respectively.



With a wide variety of substituents, therefore, elimination of HCl from 2-chloroalkylphosphonates occurs D. V. Banthorpe, 'Elimination Reactions,' Elsevier, Amsterdam, London, and New York, 1963.
 ¹⁸ J. Sicher, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1972, **11**, 200.

stereospecifically and always in the same sense [equation (ii)]. Moreover in the five cases where the conformational properties of the substrate in solution (CCl_{4}) have been deduced [(II), (VIIa), (VIIb), (VIII), and (IX)], the geometry of the product is that which most resembles the preferred conformation of the substrate irrespective of whether a formal syn- or anti-elimination is involved (*i.e.* the elimination appears to have occurred from the lowest-energy conformation of the substrate). This correlation may be fortuitous since the relative rates of formation of the Z- and E-olefins are generally expected to be determined solely by the differences in the standard potentials of the respective transition states, and will be independent of the relative energies of the ground-state

$$(RO)_{2}^{O} \stackrel{O}{\Vdash} CHX \cdot CHCIY \xrightarrow{-HCI} (RO)_{2}^{O} \stackrel{O}{\Vdash} H (ii)$$

$$X = CI, Y = F$$

$$X = F, Y = CI \text{ or } F$$

$$X = H. Y = Me. CO_{2}Me, \text{ or } CI$$

conformations of the substrate.²¹ If, however, the transition states leading to syn- and anti-elimination resemble carbanions (i.e. an E1cb mechanism is involved which, for reasons already given, is likely) the energy difference between them may indeed be similar to, or less than the ground state conformational energy differences between the substrates. If we assume that the acidity of the β -hydrogen atoms in a pair of diastereoisomeric substrates is governed mainly by the nature of the α - and β -substituents rather than by their spatial arrangement, the rates of formation of the two carbanions will be largely decided by the populations of the groundstate conformations. Since carbanions stabilized by substituents capable of delocalizing the electron pair onto more electronegative elements are expected to approach sp^2 hydridization (which maximizes overlap between the p-orbital of the carbon atom and those of the substituent, and allows negative charge to reside



largely on the heteroatom),15 the carbanions initially formed from the preferred conformations of the pairs of diastereoisomers are expected to be of types (XIX or (XX).

anti-Elimination of chloride ion from the carbanion (XIX) is expected to be favoured compared with elimination from (XX), since there is already maximum

- ¹⁹ D. J. McLennan, Quart. Rev., 1967, 21, 490.
- ²⁰ J. F. Bunnett, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1962, 1, 225.
- ²¹ D. Y. Curtin, Rec. Chem. Progr., 1954, 15, 111.

overlap between the non-bonding orbital on the carbon atom bearing the negative charge and the C-Cl bond. However, syn-elimination of chloride ion is expected to predominate from the carbanion (XX) since this minimizes eclipsing interactions on the reaction pathway.

In the case of the 2-chloro-1,2-difluoroethylphosphonate (VIIa), in which the preferred conformation has H and Cl in a gauche relationship, the product is formally that of 9:1 syn: anti-elimination, and, as expected, the reaction is slower than elimination from the other diastereoisomer, in which H and Cl are anti. Application of this rationale to the diastereoisomeric 1,2-dichloro-2-fluoroethylphosphonates (Ia and b) suggests that the preferred conformations are (3) and (5) respectively (Scheme), and that the product (XII) is formed by syn-elimination from (Ia) and by antielimination from (Ib). Similarly consideration of the relationship between the preferred conformations of the 2-methyl-(VIII) and 2-methoxycarbonyl-ethylphosphonates (IX) and the observed products of elimination suggests that in each case a stereoselective syn-elimination of HaCl occurs. Selective removal of Ha is expected on the grounds that it is less sterically hindered than H_b, which is gauche to two large substituents (Scheme). However the diastereotopic protons H_a and H_b may have intrinsically different reactivity, even in the absence of conformational effects, and we recognize the possibility that the observed elimination products from (VIII) and (IX) may arise by a stereoselective anti-elimination of H_bCl.

Banthorpe 17 has pointed out the difficulty of predicting the mode of elimination in any particular E1cbreaction, and has suggested that this will vary among substrates depending on the size and type of substituents and the carbanion stability. We suggest that with the diethyl 2-chloroethylphosphonates under consideration the major product is derived by elimination from the most highly populated conformation of the substrate, irrespective of whether a formal syn or anti process is involved. It may be more than coincidental that examples of stereoconvergent²² and stereospecific ²³ eliminations from tetrasubstituted ethanes in which one of the substituents is a sulphonyl group, ArSO₂·CHX·CHYZ (Ar = p-MeC₆H₄, X = Y = Ph, Z = Cl; ²² Ar = Ph, X = D, Y = SPh, Z = F ²³), also give olefins in which the α -proton is in a *cis*-relationship to the activating group; and the most likely conformations of these substituted ethanes, predicted on the basis of the results of Abraham and Parry,¹¹ are those which lead to the observed products.

EXPERIMENTAL

I.r. spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer 257 grating spectrometer and n.m.r. spectra with a Varian HA-100 spectrometer, operating at 100 MHz for ¹H, 94·1 MHz for ¹⁹F, and 40·5 MHz for ³¹P; for ¹⁹F spectra field-frequency lock and extended lock operation with fully automatic phase correction were used.²⁴ Column chromatography, which was carried out with silica gel as stationary phase (Crosfield Sorbsil brand) and ether as

eluant, was monitored by g.l.c. (Perkin-Elmer F11, 2 m column packed with 10% QF1 on Chromosorb W, at 130°) and/or by t.l.c. (Merck pre-coated silica gel F_{254} plates). Combined g.l.c.-mass spectrometry was carried out on a Perkin-Elmer 452 gas chromatograph fitted with a 2 m column containing 10% QF1 on 100—120 mesh Chromosorb W, at 155°, connected via a Biemann separator to a Quad 250 mass analyser. Mass spectra were recorded with an A.E.I. MS9 instrument. Vacuum distillations were carried out under nitrogen.

Reaction of 1-Chloro-2-fluoroethylene with Phosphorus Trichloride and Oxygen.^{4,5}—1-Chloro-2-fluoroethylene (100 g, 1·24 mol), phosphorus trichloride (1 l, 1574 g, 11·45 mol), and oxygen were treated as previously described.^{1,2} The product (90·7 g, ca. 30% based on olefin) had b.p. 60—84° at 3·5 mmHg, n_p^{20} 1·4718, ν_{max} , 3000w, 2930w, 1295s, 1215w, 1125m, 1085s, 1010s (P^{-O-C}), 980m, 950m, 885w, 865w, 810m, 765m, 725w, and 680w cm⁻¹; ³¹P δ 12·3 (m) and 36·4 (m) p.p.m. to low field of external H₃PO₄ attributable to the phosphoric and the phosphonic dichloride, respectively (65:35).

Ethanolysis of the Product of the Foregoing Reaction.-The product (80 g) was treated with absolute ethanol as described previously.^{1,2} The excess of ethanol was removed in vacuo, and the residue distilled to give a product (82.65 g)b.p. 98—116° at 2.5 mmHg, $n_{\rm p}^{20}$ 1.4334. T.l.c. and g.l.c. indicated that this contained at least five components. G.l.c.-mass spectrometry showed the presence of the expected phosphonates (I) and (II), two isomeric phosphates (one in very small amount), and a small amount of a vinylphosphonate, subsequently identified as (Z)-diethyl 1chloro-2-fluorovinylphosphonate. ¹H N.m.r. studies revealed, in addition, the presence of ca. 2% of diethyl dichloromethylphosphonate.¹ Fractional distillation gave two fractions. The lower boiling fraction, b.p. 94-104° at 2.5 mmHg (6.4 g), after column chromatography and subsequent distillation yielded pure diethyl chlorofluoromethylphosphonate, b.p. 76—77° at 2·2 mmHg, $n_{\rm D}^{23}$ 1·4213 (Found: C, 29.0; H, 5.2; Cl, 17.2; P, 14.7. $C_5H_{11}CIFO_3P$ requires C, 29.35; H, 5.4; Cl, 17.3; P, 15.15%); δ_H 1.40 (6H, t), 4·26 (4H, m), 6·19 (1H, dd, $J_{H,P}$ 9·7, $J_{H,F}$ 46·7 Hz); ¹⁹F δ 2.83 (dd) p.p.m. to low field of C₆F₆ (J_{F.H} 46.3; J_{F.P} 77·0 Hz).

Chromatography of the higher boiling fraction, b.p. $109-111^{\circ}$ at 2.9 mmHg (60.55 g), gave two sub-fractions. The faster eluted material (40 g) was distilled to yield a pure product (36.5 g), b.p. 144° at 2 mmHg, $n_{\rm D}^{21.5}$ 1.4299, identified by ¹H and ¹⁹F n.m.r. (Table 2) and mass spectrometry as a mixture of diastereoisomers of 1,2-dichloro-2fluoroethyl diethyl phosphate (III) (Found: C, 27.1; H, 4.7; Cl, 25.9; P, 11.4. C₆H₁₂Cl₂FO₄P requires C, 26.8; H, 4.5; Cl, 26.4; P, 11.5%); m/e 267 $[(M - 1)^+]$, 241, 233, 213, 205, 201 $[(M - CHFCl)^+]$, 177, 173, 137, 109, 81, and 67 [(CHFCl)⁺]. The slower eluted material (14 g) was distilled and the product (10.5 g), b.p. 109° at 2 mmHg, $n_{\rm D}^{20}$ 1.4478, identified by ¹H and ¹⁹F n.m.r. (Table 2) as a 1:1 mixture of the diastereoisomers of diethyl 1,2-dichloro-2-fluoroethylphosphonate (I) and diethyl 2,2-dichloro-1fluoroethylphosphonate (II) (Found: C, 28.6; H, 4.8; Cl, 27.5; P, 12.3. Calc. for C₆H₁₂Cl₂FO₃P: C, 28.5; H, 4.8; Cl, 28.0; P, 12.25%).

²² S. J. Cristol and P. Pappas, J. Org. Chem., 1963, 28, 2066. ²³ V. Fiandanese, G. Marchese, and F. Naso, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1972, 250.

²⁴ P. N. Jenkins and L. Phillips, J. Phys. (E), 1971, 4, 530.

Reaction of 1,2-Difluoroethylene with Phosphorus Trichloride and Oxygen.—1,2-Difluoroethylene (100 g, 1.565 mol), phosphorus trichloride (1365 ml, 2150 g, 15.65 mol), and oxygen were treated as described previously.^{1,2} The product (76 g, ca. 35% based on olefin) had b.p. 45—82° at 7—8 mmHg; ν_{max} 3000w, 2930w, 1285s, 1230w, 1200w, 1145w, 1125m, 1085m, 1050m, 990w, 970w, 920m, 825w, 815w, 795w, 765w, 735w, and 720w cm⁻¹; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.96—7.16 (complex); ³¹P δ 7.04 (unresolved; attributable to the phosphoric dichloride), and 30.1 and 32.59 p.p.m. (partially resolved; attributable to the phosphonic dichloride) to low field of external H₃PO₄; ¹⁹F δ -42.81 (m), -35.77 (m), 7.39 (m), 8.40 (m), 10.6 (dd), 15.05 (m), 19.48 (m), and 27.45 (m) p.p.m. to low field of internal C₆F₆.

Ethanolysis of the Product of the Foregoing Reaction.—The product (33 g) was treated with ethanol as described previously.^{1,2} Distillation gave material (34·1 g; b.p. 68—83° at 0·4—0·3 mmHg; resolved by g.l.c. into three components, $t_{\rm R}$ 2·5, 3·0, and 3·3 min) which was separated into two fractions by column chromatography.

The faster eluted fraction yielded a pure liquid, b.p. 64° at 0.3 mmHg (6.6 g), $n_{\rm p}^{22}$ 1.4015, $t_{\rm R}$ 3.0 min, identified by ¹H and ¹⁹F n.m.r. (Table 2) as a mixture of diastereoisomers of 2-chloro-1,2-difluoroethyl diethyl phosphate (VI) (Found: C, 27.8; H, 4.9; Cl, 13.8; P, 12.1. C₆H₁₂ClF₂O₄P requires C, 28.5; H, 4.8; Cl, 14.0; P, 12.3%).

The slower eluted fraction yielded a liquid, b.p. $86 \cdot 5$ — 87.5° at 0.8 mmHg, n_D^{22} 1.4191, consisting of two materials, t_R 2.5 and 3.3 min in the ratio *ca.* 1:10. The minor component was identified (¹H and ¹⁹F n.m.r.) as diethyl chlorofluoromethylphosphonate (see before); and the major component was shown, also by ¹H and ¹⁹F n.m.r. (Table 2), to be a mixture of diastereoisomers of *diethyl* 2-*chloro*-1,2*difluoroethylphosphonate* (VII) (Found: C, 30.7; H, 5.3; Cl, 14.7; P, 12.9. C₆H₁₂ClF₂O₃P requires C, 30.5; H, 5.1; Cl, 15.0; P, 13.1%).

Dehydrochlorination of 1,2-Dichloro-2-fluoroethyl Diethyl Phosphate (III) (1·2:1b).—The phosphate (III) (26·9 g, 0·1 mol) was treated with potassium t-butoxide at 0 °C as described previously.^{1,2} After work-up, the product (13·8 g, 59%), b.p. 83·5—87° at 2 mmHg, was shown by g.l.c. to be a mixture of two compounds, $t_{\rm R}$ 3·2 and 3·7 min (ca. 1·3:1). Repeated column chromatography provided pure samples of both. The faster eluted material ($t_{\rm R}$ 3·7 min) was identified by i.r. and ¹H and ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra as (E)-1-chloro-2-fluorovinyl diethyl phosphate (X), b.p. 84·5— 85·5° at 2 mmHg, $n_{\rm D}^{22}$ 1·4212 (Found: C, 30·6; H, 5·0; Cl, 14·9; P, 13·2. C₆H₁₁CIFO₄P requires C, 31·0; H, 4·8; Cl, 15·25; P, 13·3%), $v_{\rm max}$ 1695m cm⁻¹ (C=C); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1·39 (6H, t), 4·21 (4H, m), 6·62 (1H, dd, $J_{\rm H,P}$ 2·9, $J_{\rm H,F}$ 75 Hz); ¹⁹F δ 19·19 (dd) p.p.m. to low field of C₆F₆ ($J_{\rm F,H}$ 75, $J_{\rm F,P}$ 8 Hz).

The slower eluted material ($t_{\rm R} 3.2 \text{ min}$) was identified by i.r. and ¹H and ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra as (Z)-1-chloro-2-fluorovinyl diethyl phosphate (XI), b.p. 84·5-85·5° at 2 minHg, $n_{\rm D}^{22}$ 1·4192 (Found: C, 31·0; H, 5·1; Cl, 15·0; P, 13·6. C₆H₁₁ClFO₄P requires C, 31·0; H, 4·8; Cl, 15·25; P, 13·3%), $\nu_{\rm max}$ 1695vw cm⁻¹ (C=C); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1·39 (6H, t), 4·18 (4H, m), and 7·22 (1H, dd, $J_{\rm H,P}$ 3·9, $J_{\rm H,F}$ 75·5 Hz); ¹⁹F δ 8·54 (dd) p.p.m. to low field of C₆F₆ ($J_{\rm F,H}$ 75·5; $J_{\rm F,P}$ 9 Hz).

Treatment of the Mixture of Diethyl Dichlorofluoroethylphosphonates (Ia and b) and (II) with Triethylamine.—The mixture (6.7 g) was treated with triethylamine (5.5 g) in dry ether (50 ml) at room temperature for 24 h. Work-up and chromatography gave a mixture of unchanged phos1649 g), separated by

phonate and vinylphosphonate (6.0 g), separated by careful fractionation. The more volatile material, b.p. 71—76° at 2 mmHg (2 g), after further chromatography and distillation was shown by g.l.c. and ¹H and ¹⁹F n.m.r. to be a single geometric isomer of diethyl 1-chloro-2-fluorovinylphosphonate (XII), b.p. 68—69° at 2 mmHg, $n_{\rm D}^{19.5}$ 1·4332 (lit.,⁵ b.p. 80—82° at 3 mmHg, $n_{\rm D}^{20}$ 1·4090) (Found: C, 33·3; H, 5·2; Cl, 16·4; P, 13·8. Calc. for C₆H₁₁ClFO₃P: C, 33·3; H, 5·1; Cl, 16·4; P, 14·3%), $v_{\rm max}$. 1640s cm⁻¹ (C=C); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1·38 (6H, t), 4·10 (4H, m), and 7·52 (1H, dd, $J_{\rm H,P}$ 4·1, $J_{\rm H,F}$ 78·0 Hz); ¹⁹F δ 58·89 (dd) p.p.m. to low field of C₆F₆ ($J_{\rm F,H}$ 77·5, $J_{\rm F,P}$ 33 Hz). The small $J_{\rm H,P}$ value ²⁵ (4·1 Hz) suggests that this is the Z-isomer.

The less volatile material (3.0 g), b.p. $100-101^{\circ}$ at 2 mmHg, was identified by ¹H and ¹⁹F n.m.r. (Table 2) as unchanged *diethyl* 2,2-*dichloro-1-fluoroethylphosphonate* (II) (Found: C, 28.7; H, 5.0; Cl, 28.1; P, 12.1. C₆H₁₂ClFO₃P requires C, 28.5; H, 4.8; Cl, 28.0; P, 12.25%).

Neither the *E*-isomer of diethyl 1-chloro-2-fluorovinylphosphonate nor the *E*- or *Z*-isomers of diethyl 2-chloro-2-fluorovinylphosphonate were detected.

Treatment of Diethyl 2,2-Dichloro-1-fluoroethylphosphonate (II) with Triethylamine.—The phosphonate (II) (2·4 g), triethylamine (5 g), and dry ether (40 ml) were heated under reflux for 7 days. After work-up and chromatography, the product (1·8 g) was identified by g.l.c. and ¹H and ¹⁹F n.m.r. as (E)-diethyl 2-chloro-1-fluorovinylphosphonate (XIII), b.p. 69·5—70° at 1·9 mmHg, $n_{\rm D}^{20}$ 1·4372 (Found: C, 33·6; H, 5·2; Cl, 16·5; P, 13·8. C₆H₁₁ClFO₃P requires C, 33·3; H, 5·1; Cl, 16·4; P, 14·3%), $\nu_{\rm max}$. 1630w cm⁻¹ (C=C); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1·40 (6H, t), 4·15 (4H, m), 6·55 (1H, dd, $J_{\rm H,P}$ 4·5, $J_{\rm H,F}$ 24·5 Hz); ¹⁹F δ 37·41 (dd) p.p.m. to low field of C₆F₆ ($J_{\rm F,H}$ 24·5, $J_{\rm F,P}$ 83 Hz).

Treatment of 2-Chloro-1,2-diffuoroethyl Diethyl Phosphate (VI) with Potassium t-Butoxide.—The phosphate (VI) (1.65 g, 0.006 mol) was treated with potassium t-butoxide (0.006 mol) in t-butyl alcohol as described previously. No reaction was detected after 0.5 h by g.l.c.

Treatment of Diethyl 2-Chloro-1,2-difluoroethylphosphonates (VIIa and b) with Triethylamine.---(i) In ether. The mixture of diastereoisomeric phosphonates (VIIa and b) (2:1; containing 10% diethyl chlorofluoromethylphosphonate) (5.5 g, 0.0233 mol), triethylamine (5.05 g, 0.05 mol), and dry ether (40 ml) were heated under reflux. After 9 days (when g.l.c. showed no further reaction) the mixture was worked up. ¹H N.m.r. showed that only one vinylphosphonate was formed [CH= signal at δ 6.97 (ddd)], and that the major diastereoisomer (VIIa) [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.78 (dddd, $H_{\beta})$ and 6.47 (dddd, $H_{\alpha})]$ had not reacted. Column chromatography followed by fractional distillation led to almost complete separation of the two compounds. (E)-Diethyl 1,2-difluorovinylphosphonate (XIV) (1.6 g, 34%) was isolated as a liquid, b.p. 57-59° at 2 mmHg, n_0^{22} 1.4015 (Found: C, 35.7; H, 5.8; P, 15.1. C₆H₁₁F₂O₃P requires C, 36.0; H, 5.5; P, 15.5%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.37 (6H, t), 4.12 (4H, m), and 6.97 (1H, ddd, $J_{\text{H,F-gem}}$ 72.4, $J_{\text{H,F}}$ 17.2, $J_{\text{H,P}}$ 1.6 Hz); ¹⁹F δ 4.51 (dt, $J_{F,P}$ 70.5, $J_{F,F}$ 17, $J_{F,H}$ 17 Hz) and 23.33 (ddd, J_{F,P} 27, J_{F,F} 17, J_{F,H} 72.5 Hz) p.p.m. to low field of C₆F₆. Diethyl 2-chloro-1,2-difluoroethylphosphonate (VIIa) [contaminated with 10% of diethyl chlorofluoromethylphosphonate and a little diethyl 1,2-difluorovinylphosphonate] (2.55 g, 46%), b.p. 83-89° at 2 mmHg, was recovered. (Z)-Diethyl 1,2-difluorovinylphosphonate was not detected.

²⁵ G. Mavel, Progr. N.M.R. Spectrometry, 1966, 1. 251.

To confirm that the dehydrohalogenation of (VIIb) is stereospecific, and that some Z-isomer is not formed and subsequently isomerized to the E-isomer, a mixture of the (Z)- (see later) and (E)-diethyl 1,2-difluorovinylphosphonate and diethyl chlorofluoromethylphosphonate $(1:2\cdot4:1;$ $1\cdot0$ g) was heated under reflux for 9 days with triethylamine hydrochloride $(1\cdot0$ g) and triethylamine $(0\cdot5$ g), in ether (12 ml). After removal of the solvent, examination of the vinyl region of the ¹H n.m.r. spectrum (\$ 5·5—8·5) showed that no change had occurred in the proportions of (Z)- and (E)-diethyl 1,2-difluorovinylphosphonate.

(ii) In [${}^{2}H_{4}$]methanol. Mixtures of the phosphonates (VIIa and b) containing 20% diethyl chlorofluoromethylphosphonate (100 mg) and triethylamine (100 mg) in [${}^{2}H_{4}$]methanol (1 ml) were kept (a) at 50 °C for 5 h, and (b) at ambient temperature (20 °C) for 48 h. In both cases periodic examination (${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{19}F$ n.m.r.) showed that there was no incorporation of deuterium into the starting material, and the ratio of (E)- and (Z)-diethyl 1,2-difluorovinylphosphonate formed was ca. 9:1.

A similar experiment under conditions (b) carried out on the major diastereoisomer (VIIa) of diethyl 1,2-difluoro-2-chloroethylphosphonate showed, additionally, no evidence of any inversion to the minor diastereoisomer.

Reactions of Diethyl 2-Chloro-1,2-difluoroethylphosphonate (VIIa).—(i) With potassium t-butoxide. The recovered diastereoisomer (VIIa) of diethyl 2-chloro-1,2-difluoroethylphosphonate (2·1 g, 0·0085 mol) was treated with potassium t-butoxide as described previously.^{1,2} After work-up, the ¹H n.m.r. spectrum of the product showed, in addition to the resonance centred at δ 6·97 attributable to the vinyl proton of (*E*)-diethyl 1,2-difluorovinylphosphonate (XIV), a resonance centred at δ 7·52 (ddd, *J* 74, 23, and 7·7 Hz) attributable to the vinyl proton of the (*Z*)-vinylphosphonate (XV). Integration indicated that this represented about 10% of the product formed. The ¹⁹F n.m.r. data support this assignment: δ -14·75 (ddd, *J*_{F,F} 136, *J*_{F,F} 136, *J*_{F,F} 9 Hz) p.p.m. to low field of C₈F₆.

(ii) With triethylamine in toluene. A mixture of the phosphonate (VIIa) (2.37 g, 0.01 mol) and triethylamine (2.5 g, 0.0248 mol) in dry toluene (30 ml) was stirred and heated under reflux for 24 h. After work-up, ¹H n.m.r. showed that both (E)- and (Z)-diethyl 1,2-difluorovinyl-phosphonate were present, in the ratio ca. 9:1.

We thank Messrs. P. N. Jenkins and R. A. G. Carrington for n.m.r. spectra, Mr. V. Williams for mass spectra, and Mr. M. A. Pinnegar for the g.l.c.-mass spectrometry measurements. Mr. M. H. Pendlebury helped with the analysis of the n.m.r. spectra using the LACOÖN program. We also thank the S.R.C. for a maintenance grant (to I. R. A.).

[3/2042 Received, 4th October, 1973]